Registered with the Registrar
of Newspapers for India
under No. 10410

सत्यमेव जयते

புதுச்சேரி மாநில அரசிதழ்

La Gazette de L' État de Poudouchéry

The Gazette of Puducherry

PART - I

சிறப்பு வெளியீடு **EXTRAORDINAIRE** **EXTRAORDINARY**

அதிகாரம் பெற்ற வெளியீடு | Publiée par Autorité | Published by Authority

எண்	புதுச்சேரி	செவ்வாய்க்கிழமை	2026 ஆம்	அக்டோபர் மீ	27 உ
No. 189	Poudouchéry	Mardi	27	Octobre	2026 (5 Kartika 1948)
No.	Puducherry	Tuesday	27th	October	2026

IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (SOUTH) AT VILLIANUR

Present : Thiru **A. KUMARAN,**
Subdivisional Magistrate (South).

M.C. No. 300/2026 I.R.No. 76/2026 Under Section 163 BNSS, 2023/2402

ORDER

[UNDER SECTION 163(3) BNSS, 2023]

To

Manikandan @ Suthimani (35),
S/o. Selvam,
Sivasenthil Nagar,
Madukarai, Puducherry.

Whereas, the Station House Officer, Nettapakkam Police Station, Puducherry, has submitted I.R. No. 76/2026 under section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking appropriate preventive action against the counter petitioner, Manikandan @ Suthimani, on the ground that his continued presence and activities in Madukarai and the surrounding areas are likely to endanger public peace and tranquillity and create a serious threat to public order and human life.

And whereas, the petitioner has reported that the counter petitioner is a history-sheet holder of Nettapakkam Police Station, Puducherry and that his alleged activities, including intimidation of the general public and the reported display of a knife at Madukarai Siruvanthadu Junction on 23-09-2026, has created fear and apprehension among the local residents. It has further been reported that members of the public are reluctant to come forward and lodge complaints against him due to the apprehended threat of retaliation.

And whereas, the petitioner has also placed before this Court the antecedents and other relevant particulars of the counter petitioner, as detailed in the information report, and has expressed apprehension that his continued presence within the jurisdiction may lead to further incidents affecting public tranquillity and the safety of the general public.

And whereas, summons were, issued to the counter petitioner and an enquiry was conducted on 08-10-2026. However, the counter petitioner did not appear before this Court to offer his explanation or contest the allegations made against him. The matter was thereafter taken up for further enquiry on 22-10-2026, on which date the Station House Officer, Nettapakkam Police Station, Puducherry, appeared before this Court and reiterated the averments contained in the information report. The petitioner specifically stated that the threat posed by the counter petitioner continues to be serious and that his presence within the jurisdiction is likely to endanger public peace and tranquillity.

And whereas, despite the opportunity afforded to him, the counter petitioner failed to appear before this Court and place his version on record. In view of his continued non-appearance, the matter is proceeded with *ex parte* on the basis of the material available on record and the statement made by the Station House Officer during the enquiry.

And whereas, this Court has carefully considered the information report, the nature of the apprehended threat, the submissions made by the Station House Officer during the enquiry on 22-10-2026, and the circumstances reported by the police. The material placed before this Court discloses a reasonable apprehension that the continued presence of the counter petitioner within the concerned jurisdiction may result in acts prejudicial to public tranquillity and may expose the residents to intimidation or other disturbances. The apprehension expressed by the police, particularly in view of the reported reluctance of the public to come forward out of fear, warrants appropriate preventive intervention in the interest of maintaining peace and public order.

And whereas, the purpose of the present proceedings is preventive in nature and is intended to avert an imminent or apprehended disturbance of public tranquillity, rather than to determine the guilt or innocence of the counter petitioner in any criminal case. Having regard to the material available on record and the statement of the Station House Officer, this Court is satisfied that preventive action is warranted, subject to the requirements of section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

And whereas, in view of the non-appearance of the Counter Petitioner, the urgency of the situation, the gravity of the offences involved and the imminent danger to public peace and safety, this Court is constrained to proceed *ex parte* under section 163(2) of BNSS, 2023;

Now therefore, I, A. Kumaran, Subdivisional Magistrate (South), Puducherry, in exercise of the powers conferred upon me under section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and upon being fully satisfied that immediate preventive action is necessary to prevent disturbance of public peace and public tranquillity, I hereby pass the following order:

1. The Counter Petitioner, Manikandan @ Suthimani, son of Selvam, shall remain outside the territorial jurisdiction of the South Revenue Division, Puducherry, for a period of two (02) Months from the date of service of this order or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry. However, you may appear either in person or by pleader before the undersigned to represent against the order.

2. The Station House Officer, Nettapakkarn Police Station, Puducherry, shall ensure strict implementation of this order and shall closely monitor compliance by the counter petitioner throughout the period of operation of this order.

3. In the event of violation of any of the directions contained herein, the counter petitioner shall render himself liable for appropriate action under the provisions of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and other applicable laws, without prejudice to any other legal proceedings that may be initiated against him.

At Villianur, dated this 22nd day of October, 2026.

A. KUMARAN,
Subdivisional Magistrate (South).

IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (SOUTH) AT VILLIANUR

Present : Thiru **A. KUMARAN,**
Subdivisional Magistrate (South).

M.C. No. 304/2026 I.R.No. 62/2026 Under Section 163 BNSS, 2023/2403

ORDER

[UNDER SECTION 163(3) BNSS, 2023]

To

Vasanth (24),
S/o. Seenuvasan,
No. 89, Indira Nagar,
Kirumampakkam Pet,
Puducherry.

Whereas, the Station House Officer, Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, has submitted Information Report No. 62/2026 under section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking preventive action against the counter petitioner, Vasanth, on the ground that his presence within the jurisdiction of Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, is likely to endanger public peace and tranquillity.

And whereas, the Information Report states that the counter petitioner is associated with George @ George Fernandas and other associates and that there has been continuing rivalry between their group and the rival group led by Pugazh @ Pugazhendhi (deceased) and his associates. The police report refers to previous incidents of violence, the registration of criminal cases and the apprehension of retaliatory acts following the release of accused persons on bail on 05-10-2026.

And whereas, the following criminal cases are listed in the Information Report against the counter petitioner:

Sl. No.	Crime Number	Sections of Law	Police Station / Particulars
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Cr. No. 50/2022	Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(i) IPC read with section 149 IPC	Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry.
2	Cr. No. 59/2023	Sections 341, 294(b), 323, 324, 427 and 506(ii) IPC read with section 34 IPC.	

And whereas, summons were issued to the counter petitioner and an enquiry was conducted on 15-10-2026 and 23-10-2026. During the enquiry, the Station House Officer appeared before this Court and reiterated the contents of the Information Report. She specifically submitted that the rivalry between the groups continues and that, in view of the forthcoming Deepavali festival, there is a likelihood of retaliatory violence and disturbance to public peace and tranquillity. She requested preventive intervention to avert any untoward incident and safeguard the life and property of the public.

And whereas, the counter petitioner denied the allegations and stated that he had reformed himself and was presently leading a clean life. His explanation has been considered. The criminal cases referred to above are taken into account as part of the background placed before this Court and not as proof of guilt. Nevertheless, the counter petitioner's denial, when considered alongside the continuing group rivalry and the circumstances reported by the police, does not sufficiently dispel the apprehension of a breach of peace.

And whereas, the police report, the submissions of the Station House Officer, the counter petitioner's explanation and the findings of the field enquiry have been considered together. The materials indicate that the rivalry between the groups remains a matter of concern and that the possibility of retaliation cannot be ruled out. The forthcoming Deepavali festival is an additional factor requiring vigilance and timely preventive measures.

And whereas, this Court finds that, in the prevailing circumstances, the continued presence of the counter petitioner in the locality is reasonably apprehended to aggravate the existing tensions and create a serious threat to public peace and tranquillity. Unless effective and lawful preventive measures are taken, there is a likelihood of confrontation between the rival groups, endangering the life and property of members of the public. The preventive action contemplated herein is not intended to determine the counter petitioner's guilt in any criminal case, but to avert an apprehended disturbance of public order.

Now therefore, I, A. Kumaran, Subdivisional Magistrate (South), Puducherry in exercise of the powers conferred upon me under section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and upon being fully satisfied that immediate preventive action is necessary to prevent disturbance of public peace and public tranquillity, I hereby pass the following order:

1. The Counter Petitioner, Vasanth (24), son of Seenuvasan, shall remain outside the territorial jurisdiction of the South Revenue Division, Puducherry, for a period of two (02) Months from the date of service of this order or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry. However, you may appear either in person or by pleader before the undersigned to represent against the order.

2. The Station House Officer, Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, shall ensure strict implementation of this order and shall closely monitor compliance by the Counter Petitioner throughout the period of operation of this order.

3. In the event of violation of any of the directions contained herein, the Counter Petitioner shall render himself liable for appropriate action under the provisions of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and other applicable laws, without prejudice to any other legal proceedings that may be initiated against him.

At Villianur, dated this 23rd day of October, 2026.

A. KUMARAN,
Subdivisional Magistrate (South).

IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (SOUTH) AT VILLIANUR

Present : Thiru **A. KUMARAN,**
Subdivisional Magistrate (South).

M.C. No. 306/2026 I.R.No. 64/2026 Under Section 163 BNSS, 2023/2401

ORDER

[UNDER SECTION 163(3) BNSS, 2023]

To

Deepan (24),
S/o. Thiyagarajan,
No. 16, Kamban Nagar,
Kirumampakkam Pet,
Puducherry.

Whereas, the Station House Officer, Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, has submitted Information Report No. 64/2026 under section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking preventive action against the respondent, Deepan, apprehending that his presence within the jurisdiction of Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, may result in a breach of public peace and tranquillity.

And whereas, the Information Report states that the respondent is associated with George @ George Fernandas and other associates and refers to the continuing rivalry between their group and the rival group led by Pugazh @ Pugazhendhi (deceased) and his associates. The police have reported previous incidents of violence, the registration of criminal cases and the apprehension of retaliatory acts following the release of accused persons on bail on 05-10-2026.

And whereas, the following criminal case is listed in the Information Report against the respondent:

Sl. No.	Crime Number	Sections of Law	Police Station / Date
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Cr. No. 03/2025	Sections 109(1) and 351(3) read with section 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.	Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, dated 15-07-2025.

And whereas, the Information Report further refers to the alleged involvement of persons associated with the rival groups in earlier violent incidents and in the murder case registered at Thookananpakkam Police Station, Tamil Nadu, in connection with the death of Pugazh @ Pugazhendhi on 01-05-2026. These circumstances are considered only as part of the reported background of the continuing rivalry and the apprehension of retaliation, and not as proof of guilt on the part of the respondent.

And whereas, summons were issued to the respondent and an enquiry was conducted on 15-10-2026 and 23-10-2026. During the enquiry, the Station House Officer appeared before this Court and reiterated the allegations and apprehensions set out in the Information Report. She specifically submitted that the continuing rivalry between the groups, coupled with the forthcoming Deepavali festival, creates a likelihood of retaliatory violence and disturbance to public peace and tranquillity. She requested that suitable preventive measures be taken to avert any untoward incident.

And whereas, the respondent denied the allegations and stated that he was presently leading a clean life and was not involved in unlawful activities. His explanation has been considered. However, the apprehension of a breach of peace must be assessed in the light of the overall circumstances, the police submissions and the findings of the field enquiry, and not solely on the basis of the respondent's denial.

And whereas, the materials placed before this Court indicate that the rivalry between the groups continues to pose a concern for public safety. The possibility of retaliatory confrontation during the forthcoming Deepavali festival cannot be ignored. The circumstances therefore warrant appropriate and proportionate preventive measures to safeguard the public.

And whereas, this Court finds that the continued presence of the respondent in the locality, in the prevailing circumstances, is reasonably apprehended to aggravate the existing tensions and pose a serious threat to public peace and tranquillity. The reported history of violent incidents, the continuing rivalry and the apprehension of retaliation together indicate a likelihood of confrontation that could endanger the life and property of the public. The object of these proceedings is preventive and is not to adjudicate the respondent's guilt in any criminal case.

Now therefore, I, A. Kumaran, Subdivisional Magistrate (South), Puducherry in exercise of the powers conferred upon me under section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and upon being fully satisfied that immediate preventive action is necessary to prevent disturbance of public peace and public tranquillity, I hereby pass the following order:

1. The Counter Petitioner, Deepan (24), son of Thiyagarajan, shall remain outside the territorial jurisdiction of the South Revenue Division, Puducherry, for a period of two (02) Months from the date of service of this order or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry. However, you may appear either in person or by pleader before the undersigned to represent against the order.

2. The Station House Officer, Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, shall ensure strict implementation of this order and shall closely monitor compliance by the counter petitioner throughout the period of operation of this order.

3. In the event of violation of any of the directions contained herein, the counter petitioner shall render himself liable for appropriate action under the provisions of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and other applicable laws, without prejudice to any other legal proceedings that may be initiated against him.

At Villianur, dated this 23rd day of October, 2026.

A. KUMARAN,
Subdivisional Magistrate (South).

IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (SOUTH) AT VILLIANUR

Present : Thiru **A. KUMARAN,**
Subdivisional Magistrate (South).

M.C. No. 307/2026 I.R.No. 65/2026 Under Section 163 BNSS, 2023/2404

ORDER

[UNDER SECTION 163(3) BNSS, 2023]

To

George @ George Fernandas (21),
S/o. William,
No. 148, Indira Nagar,
Kirumampakkam Pet, Puducherry.

Whereas, the Station House Officer, Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, has submitted Information Report No. 65/2026 under section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, seeking preventive action against the counter petitioner, George @ George Fernandas, on the ground that his continued presence within the jurisdiction of Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, is likely to endanger public peace and tranquillity.

And whereas, the Information Report states that the counter petitioner is associated with Vasanth, Deepan and other persons and refers to continuing rivalry between their group and the rival group led by Pugazh @ Pugazhendhi (deceased) and his associates. The police report refers to previous incidents of violence, the registration of criminal cases and the apprehension of retaliatory acts following the release of accused persons on bail on 05-10-2026.

And whereas, the following criminal cases are listed in the Information Report against the counter petitioner:

Sl. No.	Crime Number	Sections of Law	Police Station
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Cr. No. 43/2023	Explosive Substances Act	Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry.
2	Cr. No. 23/2025	Sections 296(b), 115(2), 118(1) and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, read with section 3(5) BNS.	
3	Cr. No. 103/2025	Sections 109(1) and 351(3) read with section 3(5) BNS	
4	Cr. No. 25/2026	Sections 191(2), 61(1), 49, 103(1) and 351(2) BNS	Thookanampakkam Police Station, Tamil Nadu.

And whereas, the Information Report further states that the counter petitioner and his associates are alleged to have been involved in incidents arising from the rivalry between the two groups, including the incident connected with the murder of Pugazh @ Pugazhendhi on 01-05-2026. The particulars are considered herein only for the limited purpose of assessing the apprehended threat to public peace and tranquillity and not for determining the counter petitioner's guilt in any pending criminal case.

And whereas, summons were issued to the counter petitioner directing him to appear before this Court for enquiry on 15-10-2026 and 23-10-2026. However, the counter petitioner failed to appear on both dates despite the issuance of summons and did not offer any explanation or place any material before this Court to rebut the apprehensions contained in the Information Report. The matter is therefore considered *ex parte* on the basis of the available records, subject to compliance with the applicable statutory requirements.

And whereas, the police report identifies the counter petitioner as a principal accused in the reported chain of incidents involving the rival groups and refers to the criminal cases set out in the table above. The registration of these cases does not, by itself, establish guilt. Nevertheless, the reported history of serious incidents, the continuing rivalry between the groups and the apprehension of retaliation following the release of accused persons on bail have to be assessed collectively in determining whether preventive intervention is necessary.

And whereas, the forthcoming Deepavali festival, coupled with the continuing tension in the locality, gives rise to a serious apprehension that further confrontation between the rival groups may occur. The circumstances reported by the police indicate that the situation requires timely preventive measures to avoid an escalation of violence and to protect the life and property of the public.

And whereas, the counter petitioner's failure to appear despite summons on both enquiry dates is not, by itself, treated as proof of the allegations. However, no explanation or contrary material has been placed before this Court, and the apprehension of a breach of peace must accordingly be assessed on the available police report and the circumstances recorded therein.

And whereas, upon consideration of the available materials, this Court finds that the continued presence of the counter petitioner in the locality, in the prevailing circumstances, is reasonably apprehended to aggravate the existing tensions and pose a serious threat to public peace and tranquillity. The reported history of violent incidents, the counter petitioner's alleged role in the incidents under investigation, the continuing rivalry and the likelihood of retaliation together disclose a substantial apprehension of confrontation and danger to the public. Preventive intervention is therefore considered necessary, subject to the legal requirements governing the exercise of the powers of this Court.

And whereas, in view of the non-appearance of the Counter Petitioner, the urgency of the situation, the gravity of the offences involved and the imminent danger to public peace and safety, this Court is constrained to proceed *ex parte* under section 163(2) of BNSS, 2023;

Now therefore, I, A. Kumaran, Subdivisional Magistrate (South), Puducherry in exercise of the powers conferred upon me under section 163 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and upon being fully satisfied that immediate preventive action is necessary to prevent disturbance of public peace and public tranquillity, I hereby pass the following order:

1. The Counter Petitioner, George @ George Fernandas (21), son of Williamraj, shall remain outside the territorial jurisdiction of the South Revenue Division, Puducherry, for a period of two (02) months from the date of service of this order or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry. However, you may appear either in person or by pleader before the undersigned to represent against the order.

2. The Station House Officer, Kirumampakkam Police Station, Puducherry, shall ensure strict implementation of this order and shall closely monitor compliance by the Counter Petitioner throughout the period of operation of this order.

3. In the event of violation of any of the directions contained herein, the Counter Petitioner shall render himself liable for appropriate action under the provisions of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and other applicable laws, without prejudice to any other legal proceedings that may be initiated against him.

At Villianur, dated this 23rd day of October, 2026.

A. KUMARAN,
Subdivisional Magistrate (South).

———

online publication at **"https://styandptg.py.gov.in"**
Published by the Director, Government Press, Puducherry.